arXiv:1701.07534v1 [math.NA] 26 Jan 2017

A homotopy method for computing the largest eigenvalue of an irreducible nonnegative tensor

Liping Chen* Lixing Han† Hongxia Yin ‡ Liangmin Zhou§

November 6, 2016

Abstract

In this paper we propose a homotopy method to compute the largest eigenvalue and a corresponding eigenvector of a nonnegative tensor. We prove that it converges to the desired eigenpair when the tensor is irreducible. We also implement the method using an prediction-correction approach for path following. Some numerical results are provided to illustrate the efficiency of the method.

Key words. nonnegative tensors, eigenvalues, Perron pair, homotopy method.

AMS subject classification (2010). 65F15, 65H17, 65H20.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ be the real and complex fields respectively. We denote the set of all mth-order, n-dimensional real tensors by $\mathbb{R}^{[m,n]}$. For a tensor $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{[m,n]}$ and a vector $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$, let $\mathcal{A}x^m$ denote the multilinear form

$$\mathcal{A}x^m = \sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_m=1}^{n} A_{i_1\cdots i_m} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_m},$$

$\mathcal{A}x^{m-1}$ denote a column vector in $\mathbb{C}^n$ whose ith entry is

$$(\mathcal{A}x^{m-1})_i = \sum_{i_2,\cdots,i_m=1}^{n} A_{ii_2\cdots i_m} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_m},$$

*Internaltional Technology, Amazon Corporate LCC, Seattle, WA 98109, USA. Email: lipinc@amazon.com

†Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan-Flint, Flint, MI 48502, USA. Email: lxhan@umflint.edu

‡Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Minnesota State University, Mankato, MN 56001, USA. Email: hongxia.yin@mnsu.edu

§Mathematics Department, Seattle University, Seattle, WA 98122, USA. Email: zhouli@seattleu.edu

and $\mathcal{A}x^{m-2}$ denote an $n \times n$ matrix whose (i,j) entry is

$$(\mathcal{A}x^{m-2})_{ij} = \sum_{i_3,\cdots,i_m=1}^{n} A_{iji_3\cdots i_m} x_{i_3} \cdots x_{i_m}.$$

DEFINITION 1.1 ([3, 12, 17]) We say that $(\lambda, x) \in \mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{C}^n \backslash \{0\})$ is an eigenpair (eigenvalue-eigenvector) of $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{[m,n]}$ if

$$\mathcal{A}x^{m-1} = \lambda x^{[m-1]}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $x^{[\alpha]} = [x_1^\alpha, x_2^\alpha, \ldots, x_n^\alpha]^T$ for real number α.

A tensor $\mathcal{A}$ is nonnegative (positive) if each of its entries is nonnegative (positive). We denote the set of all mth-order, n-dimensional nonnegative and positive tensors by $\mathbb{R}_+^{[m,n]}$ and $\mathbb{R}_{++}^{[m,n]}$ respectively. The celebrated Perron-Frobenius theory for nonnegative matrices has recently been extended to nonnegative tensors (see for example, [3, 9, 22, 23]). Equipped with this theory, the largest eigenvalue of a nonnegative tensor $\mathcal{A}$ and its corresponding eigenvector play an important role in various applications, such as in the spectral hypergraph theory, higher order Markov chains, and automatic control, to name a few. We recall the concept of irreducibility of a tensor:

DEFINITION 1.2 ([3, 12]) Denote $N = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. A tensor $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{[m,n]}$ is said to be *reducible*, if there is a nonempty proper subset I of N such that

$$A_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_m} = 0, \quad \forall i_1 \in I,\ \forall i_2, \ldots, i_m \in N \backslash I.$$

We say that $\mathcal{A}$ is *irreducible* if it is not reducible.

We define the spectral radius of a tensor $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{[m,n]}$ as

$$\rho(\mathcal{A}) = \max\{|\lambda| : \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } \mathcal{A}\}.$$

We also denote $\lambda_* = \rho(\mathcal{A})$. Then we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1 ([3]) *If $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{[m,n]}$, λ_* is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}$ and it has a nonnegative corresponding eigenvector x_*.*
If furthermore $\mathcal{A}$ is irreducible, then the following hold:

- $\lambda_* > 0$.
- *Each entry of x_* is positive.*
- *If λ is an eigenvalue with a nonnegative eigenvector, then $\lambda = \lambda_*$. Moreover, the nonnegative eigenvector is unique up to a constant multiple.*

Under normalization $x_*^T x_* = 1$, the eigenvector x_* is unique. In this case, we follow [13] and call λ_*, x_*, and (λ_*, x_*) the Perron value, the Perron vector, and the Perron pair for the irreducible nonnegative tensor $\mathcal{A}$, respectively.

Several algorithms have been proposed for finding the Perron pair of a nonnegative tensor in the literature. Ng, Qi, and Zhou [15] proposed a power-type method. The convergence of this method is established in [4] for primitive tensors and in [9] for weakly primitive tensors. Modified versions of the NQZ method have been proposed in [14, 25, 26]. In [24], linear convergence of the NQZ method is proved for essentially positive tensors using a particular starting vector.

To achieve faster convergence, Ni and Qi [16] proposed using Newton's algorithm to solve a polynomial system. Their algorithm is proved to be locally quadratically convergent when the nonnegative tensor is irreducible. They also globalized Newton's method by incorporating a linear search. However, their globalized algorithm is proved to converge to a stationary point. Thus, there is no guarantee the found solution is the Perron pair. Liu, Guo, and Lin [13] recently proposed an algorithm that combines Newton's and Noda's iterations for third order nonnegative tensors. This algorithm preserves positivity and is shown to be quadratically convergent to the Perron pair for irreducible nonnegative tensors. It is yet to be seen if this algorithm can be extended to tensors of order $m \geq 4$.

According to Theorem 1.1, finding the Perron pair of an irreducible nonnegative tensor is equivalent to solving the system of polynomials (1.1) for a particular positive solution (λ_*, x_*). An attractive class of methods for solving polynomial systems is the homotopy continuation methods, see for example, [1, 11, 21]. Recently, the homotopy techniques have been successfully used to compute generalized eigenpairs of a tensor in [6, 7]). However, the homotopy methods of [6, 7] are not suitable for finding the Perron pair of a large size irreducible nonnegative tensor because those methods are designed to find all (real and complex) eigenpairs. In this paper, we propose a homotopy method for computing the Perron pair of an irreducible nonnegative tensor. This method is suitable for large size tensors. The iterations in our method follow a curve in the positive orthant $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_{++}$. Thus it preserves positivity.

The paper is organized as follows. We introduce our homotopy method and prove its convergence in Section 2. Then we describe an implementation of the method and give some numerical results in Section 3. Some concluding remarks are given in Section 4.

2 A Homotopy Method

Our goal is to compute the Perron pair of an irreducible nonnegative tensor $\mathcal{A}$ using a homotopy method. For this purpose, we will solve the following problem:

$$P(\lambda, x) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}x^{m-1} - \lambda x^{[m-1]} \\ x^T x - 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Choose positive vectors a and b from $\mathbb{R}^n_{++}$. Define the positive tensor $\mathcal{E} \in \mathbb{R}^{[m,n]}_{++}$ such that

$$\mathcal{E} = a^{[m-1]} \circ b \circ \cdots \circ b \in \mathbb{R}^{[m,n]}_{++} \tag{2.2}$$

where $\circ$ denotes the outer product. Clearly the $(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m)$ entry of $\mathcal{E}$ is

$$E_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_m} = a_{i_1}^{m-1} b_{i_2} \cdots b_{i_m}.$$

For the tensor $\mathcal{E}$, we have

LEMMA 2.1 *For any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n_{++}$, the tensor $\mathcal{E}$ is a positive tensor in $\mathbb{R}^{[m,n]}_{++}$. Moreover, the Perron pair (λ_0, x_0) of $\mathcal{E}$ satisfies*

$$\lambda_0 = (a^T b)^{m-1}, \ x_0 = a/\|a\|. \tag{2.3}$$

Using the start system

$$Q(\lambda, x) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{E}x^{m-1} - \lambda x^{[m-1]} \\ x^T x - 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0, \tag{2.4}$$

we construct the following homotopy

$$H(\lambda, x, t) = (1-t)Q(\lambda, x) + tP(\lambda, x) = 0, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \tag{2.5}$$

Clearly we have

$$H(\lambda, x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} (t\mathcal{A} + (1-t)\mathcal{E})x^{m-1} - \lambda x^{[m-1]} \\ x^T x - 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.6}$$

The Jacobian matrix of $H(\lambda, x, t)$ plays an important role in designing a homotopy method for solving the eigenvalue problem (1.1). In order to compute this matrix, we need to partially symmetrize tensor $\mathcal{A} = (A_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m})$ for indices $i_2, \ldots, i_m$. Specifically, we define the partially symmetrized tensor $\bar{\mathcal{A}} = (\bar{A}_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m})$ by

$$\bar{A}_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_m} = \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \sum_{\pi} A_{i_1 \pi(i_2 \ldots i_m)}, \tag{2.7}$$

where the sum is over all the permutations $\pi(i_2 \ldots i_m)$. The Jacobian matrix of $\mathcal{A}x^{m-1}$ with respect to x is

$$D_x \mathcal{A}x^{m-1} = (m-1)\bar{\mathcal{A}}x^{m-2}. \tag{2.8}$$

Note that the tensor $\mathcal{E}$ is partially symmetric. Thus the partially symmetrized tensor $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_t$ of tensor $\mathcal{B}_t = t\mathcal{A} + (1-t)\mathcal{E}$ is given by

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}}_t = t\bar{\mathcal{A}} + (1-t)\mathcal{E}.$$

The partial derivatives of H with respect to λ, x, and t are:

$$D_\lambda H(\lambda, x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} -x^{[m-1]} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$D_x H(\lambda, x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} (m-1)[\bar{\mathcal{B}}_t x^{m-2} - \lambda C] \\ 2x^T \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$D_t H(\lambda, x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} (\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{E})x^{m-1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively, where C is the diagonal matrix

$$C = \mathrm{diag}([x_1^{m-2}, x_2^{m-2}, \ldots, x_n^{m-2}])$$

LEMMA 2.2 *Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{[m,n]}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$. Then we have the following:*
(a) For any $t \in [0,1)$, $H(\lambda, x, t) = 0$ has a unique solution $(\lambda(t), x(t))$ in $\mathbb{R}_{++} \times \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$, which is the Perron pair of the positive tensor $t\mathcal{A} + (1-t)\mathcal{E}$. Moreover, the Jacobian matrix

$$D_{(\lambda,x)} H(\lambda(t), x(t), t) = \begin{pmatrix} -x(t)^{[m-1]} & (m-1)[\bar{\mathcal{B}}_t x(t)^{m-2} - \lambda(t) C(t)] \\ 0 & 2x(t)^T \end{pmatrix}$$

is of rank $n+1$, where $C(t) = \mathrm{diag}([x_1(t)^{m-2}, x_2(t)^{m-2}, \ldots, x_n(t)^{m-2}])$.
(b) If furthermore $\mathcal{A}$ is irreducible, then $H(\lambda, x, 1) = P(\lambda, x) = 0$ has a unique solution $(\lambda_*, x_*) = (\lambda(1), x(1))$ in $\mathbb{R}_{++} \times \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$, which is the Perron pair of the tensor $\mathcal{A}$. Moreover, the Jacobian matrix

$$D_{(\lambda,x)} H(\lambda_*, x_*, 1) = \begin{pmatrix} -x_*^{[m-1]} & (m-1)[\bar{\mathcal{A}} x_*^{m-2} - \lambda_* C_*] \\ 0 & 2x_*^T \end{pmatrix}$$

is of rank $n+1$, $C_* = \mathrm{diag}([x_{*1}^{m-2}, x_{*2}^{m-2}, \ldots, x_{*n}^{m-2}])$.

Proof: For part (a), by Theorem 1.1, $(t\mathcal{A} + (1-t)\mathcal{E})x^{m-1} - \lambda x^{[m-1]} = 0$ has a unique solution in $\mathbb{R}_{++} \times \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$, up to a constant multiple of x. By imposing the normalization condition $x^T x = 1$, $P(\lambda, x) = 0$ has a unique solution $(\lambda(t), x(t))$ in $\mathbb{R}_{++} \times \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$. Clearly $(\lambda(t), x(t))$ is the Perron pair of tensor $t\mathcal{A} + (1-t)\mathcal{E}$. Now by [16, Lemma 4.1], the Jacobian matrix $D_{(\lambda,x)} H(\lambda(t), x(t), t)$ is nonsingular, that is, it has rank $n+1$.
The proof of part (b) is similar. □

LEMMA 2.3 *Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{[m,n]}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$. Then $H_+^{-1}(0) = \{(\lambda, x, t) \in \mathbb{R}_{++} \times \mathbb{R}_{++}^n \times [0,1) : H(\lambda, x, t) = 0\}$ is a one-dimensional smooth manifold.*

Proof: The conclusion follows from Lemma 2.2 and the implicit function theorem. □

LEMMA 2.4 *Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{[m,n]}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$. The $H_+^{-1}(0)$ in Lemma 2.3 is uniformly bounded for $t \in [0,1)$.*

Proof: By the Gerschgorin theorem for the eigenvalues of tensors ([17, 5]), for the eigenvalue λ of tensor $t\mathcal{A} + (1-t)\mathcal{E}$,

$$|\lambda| \le \sum_{i_1=1,\ldots,i_m=1}^{n} |(t\mathcal{A} + (1-t)\mathcal{E})_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_m}| \le \sum_{i_1=1,\ldots,i_m=1}^{n} |A_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_m}| + \sum_{i_1=1,\ldots,i_m=1}^{n} |E_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_m}|.$$

Note that $\|x\| = 1$. Thus, we have

$$\|(\lambda, x, t)\| \leq |\lambda| + \|x\| + |t| \leq 2 + \sum_{i_1=1,\ldots,i_m=1}^{n} |A_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_m}| + \sum_{i_1=1,\ldots,i_m=1}^{n} |E_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_m}|.$$

□

Our main theorem shows that the homotopy (2.5) works.

THEOREM 2.1 *Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{[m,n]}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$. Starting from the Perron pair (λ_0, x_0) of $\mathcal{E}$ as defined in (2.3), let $(\lambda(t), x(t))$ be the solution curve obtained by solving the homotopy $H(\lambda, x, t) = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}_{++} \times \mathbb{R}_{++}^n \times [0, 1)$. Then each limit point of $(\lambda(t), x(t))$ is a nonnegative eigenpair of $\mathcal{A}$.*
If furthermore $\mathcal{A}$ is irreducible, then

$$\lim_{t \to 1} (\lambda(t), x(t)) = (\lambda_*, x_*), \tag{2.9}$$

where (λ_*, x_*) is the Perron pair of $\mathcal{A}$.

Proof: According to Lemma 2.3, $H_+^{-1}(0) = \{(\lambda, x, t) \in \mathbb{R}_{++} \times \mathbb{R}_{++}^n \times [0, 1) : H(\lambda, x, t) = 0\}$ is a one-dimensional smooth manifold in $\mathbb{R}_{++} \times \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$. Since $H_+^{-1}(0)$ is uniformly bounded by Lemma 2.4, $(\lambda(t), x(t))$ is uniformly bounded on $[0, 1)$ and thus its limit set is not empty when $t \to 1$. Let (λ_*, x_*) be a limit point of $(\lambda(t), x(t))$ as $t \to 1$. Then $(\lambda_*, x_*) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} x_*^{m-1} - \lambda x_*^{[m-1]} \\ x_*^T x_* - 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0, \tag{2.10}$$

by taking the limit in (2.6). This (λ_*, x_*) is a nonnegative eigenpair of $\mathcal{A}$.
If in addition $\mathcal{A}$ is irreducible, then (2.10) implies that (λ_*, x_*) must be the unique Perron pair of $\mathcal{A}$. This further implies that (2.9) holds. □

REMARK 2.1 To follow the curve in the homotopy method, we differentiate $H(\lambda, x, t) = 0$ with respect to t. Then

$$D_{(\lambda,x)} H \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \frac{d\lambda}{dt} \\ \frac{dx}{dt} \end{pmatrix} = -D_t H. \tag{2.11}$$

Since $D_{(\lambda,x)} H$ is nonsingular for all $t \in [0, 1)$, this system of differential equations is well defined. We will follow the curve by solving this system with the initial condition $(\lambda(0), x(0)) = (\lambda_0, x_0)$, where λ_0, x_0 are defined in (2.3).

3 Implementation and Numerical Results

We now present an algorithm that implements the homotopy method proposed in the previous section for computing the Perron pair of an irreducible nonnegative tensor $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{[m,n]}$. An Euler-Newton type predication-correction approach for solving the system of differential equations (2.11) with initial conditions $(\lambda(0), x(0)) = (\lambda_0, x_0)$ is used.

ALGORITHM 3.1

Initialization. *Choose positive vectors* $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n_{++}$ *and construct the tensor* $\mathcal{E} = a^{[m-1]} \circ b \circ \cdots \circ b \in \mathbb{R}^{[m,n]}_{++}$. *Choose initial step size* $\Delta t_0 > 0$, *tolerances* $\epsilon_1 > 0$ *and* $\epsilon_2 > 0$. *Let* $t_0 = 0$, $x_0 = a/\|a\|$, $\lambda_0 = (a^T b)^{m-1}$. *Set* $k = 0$.

Path following. *For* $k = 0, 1, \cdots$ *until* $t_N < 1$ *and* $t_{N+1} \geq 1$ *for some* N:
Set $t_{k+1} = t_k + \Delta t_k$. *If* $t_k < 1$ *and* $t_{k+1} \geq 1$, *then set* $N = k$ *and reset* $t_{N+1} = 1$ *and* $\Delta t_N = 1 - t_N$.
Let $u = (\lambda, x)$ *and* $u_k = (\lambda_k, x_k)$. *To find the next point on the path* $H(u, t) = 0$, *we employ the following Euler-Newton prediction-correction strategy:*

- *Prediction Step: Compute the tangent vector* $\dfrac{du}{dt}$ *to* $H(u, t) = 0$ *at* t_k *by solving the linear system*

$$D_u H(u_k, t_k) \frac{du}{dt} = -D_t H(u_k, t_k)$$

  for $\dfrac{du}{dt}$. *Then compute the approximation* $\tilde{u}$ *to* u_{k+1} *by*

$$\tilde{u} = u_k + \Delta t_k \frac{du}{dt}.$$

- *Correction Step: Use Newton's iterations. Initialize* $v_0 = \tilde{u}$. *For* $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,$ *compute*

$$v_{i+1} = v_i - [D_u H(v_i, t_{k+1})]^{-1} H(v_i, t_{k+1})$$

  until $\|H(v_J, t_{k+1})\| \leq \epsilon_1$ *if* $k < N$ *or* $\|H(v_J, t_{k+1})\| \leq \epsilon_2$ *if* $k = N$. *Then let* $u_{k+1} = v_J$. *If* $k = N$, *we set* $(\lambda_*, x_*) = u_{N+1}$ *as the computed pair and stop.*

- *Adaptively updating the step size* Δt_k: *If more than three steps of Newton iterations were required to converge within the desired accuracy, then* $\Delta t_{k+1} = 0.5 \Delta t_k$. *If* $\Delta t_{k+1} \leq 10^{-6}$, *set* $\Delta t_{k+1} = 10^{-6}$. *If two consecutive steps were not cut, then* $\Delta t_{k+1} = 2\Delta t_k$. *If* $\Delta t_{k+1} \geq 0.5$, *set* $\Delta t_{k+1} = 0.5$. *Otherwise,* $\Delta t_{k+1} = \Delta t_k$.

Set $k = k + 1$.

We now report some numerical results to test Algorithm 3.1. We compare it with the NQZ method [15]. All the experiments were done using MATLAB 2014b on a laptop computer with Intel Core i7-4600U at 2.10 GHz and 8 GB memory running Microsoft Windows 7. The tensor toolbox of [2] was used to compute tensor-vector products and to compute partially symmetrized tensor $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$.

In our experiments, we used $a = b = [1, 1, \ldots, 1]^T$ and $\Delta t_0 = 0.1$, $\epsilon_1 = 10^{-5}$ and $\epsilon_2 = 10^{-12}$ in Algorithm 3.1 and the initial vector $x_0 = [1, 1, \ldots, 1]^T/\sqrt{n}$ in the NQZ

method. We also preprocessed the tensor $\mathcal{A}$ by setting

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A}/\tau, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\tau := \max(\mathcal{A})$ is the largest entry in $\mathcal{A}$. After finding the Perron pair $(\tilde{\lambda}_*, x_*)$ by using Algorithm 3.1 or the NQZ method on $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, the Perron pair for $\mathcal{A}$ is obtained by setting $(\lambda_*, x_*) = (\tau\tilde{\lambda}_*, x_*)$. The NQZ method was terminated if one of the following conditions was met:

(a). $\|[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}x_k^{m-1} - \tilde{\lambda_k}x_k^{[m-1]}; x_k^T x_k - 1]\| \leq 10^{-12}$.

(b). The number of iterations exceeds 10000.

Note that regular termination condition (a) is the same as the one used in Algorithm 3.1 at regular termination. We also set the maximal allowed number of prediction-correction steps for Algorithm 3.1 as 10000.

Our first example is adapted from [4, Example 3.6].

EXAMPLE 3.1 Consider $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{[3,3]}$ defined by:

$$a_{122} = 1, a_{133} = 2, a_{211} = 3, a_{311} = 4, \text{ and } a_{ijk} = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

This tensor is irreducible but not primitive. Algorithm 3.1 converged to the Perron pair using 5 prediction-correction steps and 14 Newton iterations, while the NQZ method did not converge after 10000 iterations. We remark that the NQZ method converges to the Perron pair if the strategy of adding a shift to $\mathcal{A}$ introduced in [14] is used. For example, applying the NQZ method to the tensor $\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{I}$, the NQZ method can find the Perron pair in 25 iterations.

EXAMPLE 3.2 Consider $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^{[3,3]}$ from [13, Example 1], which is defined by

$$\mathcal{P}(1,:,:) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9000 & 0.6700 & 0.6604 \\ 0.3340 & 0.1040 & 0.0945 \\ 0.3106 & 0.0805 & 0.0710 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{P}(2,:,:) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0690 & 0.2892 & 0.0716 \\ 0.6108 & 0.8310 & 0.6133 \\ 0.0754 & 0.2956 & 0.0780 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{P}(3,:,:) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0310 & 0.0408 & 0.2680 \\ 0.0552 & 0.0650 & 0.2922 \\ 0.6140 & 0.6239 & 0.8510 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We set tensor $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P} + \gamma\mathcal{I}$, where $\mathcal{I} \in \mathbb{R}^{[3,3]}$ is the identity tensor and γ is a parameter. We summarize the numerical results for Example 3.2 in Table 1. In this table and Table 2, for Algorithm A, `itr` and `nwtitr` denote the number of prediction-correction steps and the total number of Newton iterations were used, respectively. For the NQZ method, `itr` denotes the number of iterations was used. `time` represents the CPU time used when the termination condition (a) was met.

	Algorithm 3.1			NQZ	
γ	itr	nwtitr	time	itr	time
0	5	15	0.1255	29	0.0345
10	5	13	0.1237	157	0.1878
10^2	5	13	0.1248	1195	1.2473
10^3	5	11	0.1019	> 10000	
10^4	5	9	0.1003	> 10000	

Table 1: Performance of Algorithm 3.1 and the NQZ method on Example 3.2

EXAMPLE 3.3 Let $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{[m,n]}$ be a tensor, each of its entries being a random number uniformly distributed in $[0, 1]$. Define

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C} + \gamma\mathcal{I},$$

where $\mathcal{I}$ is the identity tensor in $\mathbb{R}^{[m,n]}$ and γ is a parameter. The numerical results for this example are given in Table 2.

From Tables 1 and 2, we observe that Algorithm 3.1 is more efficient than the NQZ method when the parameter γ is large, in terms of numbers of iterations. This is because the NQZ is linearly convergent and its rate of convergence depends on the ratio r/λ_*, where r is the maximum modulus of the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}$ distinct from λ_*. When γ is large, the ratio r/λ_* is close to 1 (For example, this ratio is 0.9988 for Example 3.2 when $\gamma = 10^3$). Thus the NQZ method becomes slow. Using the shifting strategy introduced in [14] does not improve the performance of the NQZ method for these examples when γ is large.

On the other hand, the last correction step in Algorithm 3.1 is Newton's method. Therefore, it is quadratically convergent. Numerical results show that the ratio r/λ_* does not affect the performance of Algorithm 3.1. We remark that for the $m = 4, n = 100$ cases, the relatively large CPU time used by Algorithm 3.1 is mainly due to the procedure of partially symmetrizing tensor $\mathcal{A}$. A more efficient symmetrization method can help save the CPU time for such cases. Of course, the partial symmetrization is not needed if the tensor $\mathcal{A}$ is symmetric.

4 Concluding Remarks

We have proposed a homotopy method for computing the largest eigenvalue and a corresponding eigenvector of a nonnegative tensor. We have proved that this method converges to the Perron pair for irreducible nonnegative tensors. We have implemented it using an Euler-Newton prediction-correction approach for path following in Algorithm 3.1. Our numerical results show the efficiency of Algorithm 3.1. This algorithm is promising when the ratio r/λ_* is close to 1, where r the maximum modulus of the eigenvalues distinct from the Perron value λ_*. In this situation, the Power-type methods can be slow.

		Algorithm 3.1			NQZ	
(m,n)	γ	itr	nwtitr	time	itr	time
(3,20)	10^2	5	12	0.1352	22	0.0519
(3,20)	10^4	5	10	0.1261	942	1.0130
(3,20)	10^6	5	8	0.1167	> 10000	
(3,100)	10^2	5	12	0.3258	7	0.0506
(3,100)	10^4	5	12	0.3265	49	0.1318
(3,100)	10^6	5	8	0.2924	2998	5.7511
(3,200)	10^2	5	12	1.1023	7	0.0915
(3,200)	10^4	5	12	1.0684	19	0.1643
(3,200)	10^6	5	8	0.9900	774	4.1408
(3,200)	10^7	5	8	0.9931	6510	35.8417
(4,10)	10^2	5	12	0.1331	13	0.0505
(4,10)	10^4	5	10	0.1241	361	0.4277
(4,10)	10^6	5	8	0.1240	> 10000	
(4,50)	10^2	5	12	1.1523	4	0.0842
(4,50)	10^4	5	9	1.1133	10	0.1110
(4,50)	10^6	5	7	1.0525	244	1.3374
(4,50)	10^7	5	7	1.0465	2004	10.9171
(4,100)	10^2	5	12	22.6062	4	0.8868
(4,100)	10^4	5	11	21.2236	5	0.8900
(4,100)	10^6	5	7	20.2311	37	3.4189
(4,100)	10^8	5	7	20.4364	2078	168.9237

Table 2: Performance of Algorithm 3.1 and the NQZ method on Example 3.3

The algorithms proposed in this paper and in [6, 7] show that the homotopy techniques are very useful for computing tensor eigenvalues. An interesting direction for future research is to apply a homotopy approach to compute extreme Z-eigenvalues for nonnegative tensors. Another direction is to employ this approach to compute extreme eigenvalues of symmetric tensors.

Acknowledgements. Lixing Han was supported in part by a 60th Anniversary Research Grant, Office of the Provost, UM-Flint.

References

[1] E.L. Allgower and K. Georg, *Numerical Continuation Methods, an Introduction*, Springer Series in Comput. Math., Vol 13, Springer-Verlag (Berlin, Heidelberg, New York), 1990.

[2] B.W. Bader, T.G. Kolda and others, MATLAB Tensor Toolbox Version 2.6, 2015.

[3] K.C. Chang, K. Pearson and T. Zhang, Perron-Frobenius theorem for nonnegative tensors, *Communications in Mathematical Sciences*, 2008, 6(5): 507–520.

[4] K.C. Chang, K. Pearson and T. Zhang, Primitivity, the convergence of the NQZ method, and the largest eigenvalue for nonnegative tensors, *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, 2011, 32: 806–819.

[5] K.C. Chang, L. Qi, and T. Zhang, A survey of the spectral theory of nonnegative tensors, *Numerical Linear Algebra with Applications*, 2013, 20: 891–912.

[6] L. Chen, L. Han and L. Zhou, Computing tensor eigenvalues via homotopy methods, *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, 2016, 37(1): 290–319.

[7] L. Chen, L. Han and L. Zhou, A linear homotopy method for computing generalized tensor eigenpairs, submitted, 2016.

[8] J. Cooper and A. Duttle, Spectra of uniform hypergraphs, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 2012, 436: 3268–3292.

[9] S. Friedland, S. Gaubert and L. Han, Perron-Frobenius theorem for nonnegative multilinear forms and extensions, *Linear Algebra and Applications*, 2013, 438: 738–749.

[10] S. Hu, L. Qi, and B. Zhang, The geometric measure of entanglement of pure states with nonnegative amplitudes and the spectral theory of nonnegative tensors, arXiv:1203.3675, 2012.

[11] T.Y. Li, Homotopy methods, in *Encyclopedia of Applied and Computational Mathematics*, B. Engquist, ed., Springer, Berlin, 2015: 653–656.

[12] L.-H. Lim, Singular values and eigenvalues of tensors: a variational approach, *Proceedings of the IEEE International Workshop on Computational Advances in Multi-Sensor Adaptive Processing (CAMSAP'05)*, 2005, 1: 129–132.

[13] C.-S. Liu, C.-H. Guo, and W.-W. Lin, A positivity preserving inverse iteration for finding the Perron pair of an irreducible nonnegative third order tensor, *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, 2016, 37: 911–932.

[14] Y. Liu, G. Zhou, and N. F. Ibrahim, An always convergent algorithm for the largest eigenvalue of an irreducible nonnegative tensor, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 2010, 235: 286–292.

[15] M. Ng, L. Qi, and G. Zhou, Finding the largest eigenvalue of a nonnegative tensor, *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, 2009, 31: 1090–1099.

[16] Q. Ni and L. Qi, A quadratically convergent algorithm for finding the largest eigenvalue of a nonnegative homogeneous polynomial map, *Journal of Global Optimization*, 2015, 61: 627–641.

[17] L. Qi, Eigenvalues of a real supersymmetric tensor, *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 2005, 40: 1302–1324.

[18] L. Qi, W. Sun, and Y. Wang, Numerical multilinear algebra and its applications, *Frontiers of Mathematics in China*, 2007, 2: 501–526.

[19] L. Qi, G. Yu, and E.X. Wu, Higher order positive semi-definite diffusion tensor imaging, *SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences*, 2010, 3: 416–433.

[20] L. Qi, G. Yu, and Y. Xu, Nonnegative diffusion orientation distribution function, *Journal of Mathematical Imaging and Vision*, 2013, 45: 103-113.

[21] A.J. Sommese and W.W. Wampler, *The Numerical Solution of Systems of Polynomials Arising in Engineering And Science* World Scientific Pub Co Inc, 2005.

[22] Y. Yang and Q. Yang, Further results for Perron-Frobenius theorem for nonnegative tensors, *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, 2010, 31: 2517–2530.

[23] Y. Yang and Q. Yang, Further results for Perron-Frobenius theorem for nonnegative tensors II, *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, 2011, 32: 1236–1250.

[24] L. Zhang and L. Qi, Linear convergence of an algorithm for computing the largest eigenvalue of a nonnegative tensor, *Numerical Linear Algebra with Applications*, 2012, 19: 830–841.

[25] L. Zhang, L. Qi, and Y. Xu, Finding the largest eigenvalue of an irreducible tensor and linear convergence for weakly positive tensors, *Journal of Computational Mathematics*, 2012, 30: 24–33.

[26] G. Zhou, L. Qi, and S.-Y. Wu, On the largest eigenvalue of a symmetric nonnegative tensor, *Numerical Linear Algebra with Applications*, 2013, 20: 913–928.